

Fiscal Note 2017 Biennium

Bill #	HB0262			Title:	Revise re	esort tax laws		
Primary Sponsor: White, Kerry				Status:	As Introd	luced		
☐ Significant I	Local Gov Impact		Needs to be include	ed in HB 2		Technical Concerns		
☐ Included in	the Executive Budget		Significant Long-Ter	rm Impacts		Dedicated Revenue F	orm Attached	
			FISCAL S	UMMAR	Y			
			FY 2016	FY 20	17	FY 2018	FY 2019	
			Difference	<u>Differe</u>	ence	Difference	Difference	
Expenditures:								
General Fund			\$0		\$0	\$0	:	\$0
Revenue:								
General Fund			\$0		\$0	\$0	;	\$0
Net Impact-Gen	eral Fund Balance:	_	\$0		\$0	\$0		\$0
additional 1% s preservation or 1	fiscal impact: He tales tax above the restoration of history ocal option sales tax	cui ic b	rrent 3% limit. 'uildings. The Sta	The revente of Mor	ues fron ntana doe	n the new tax mues not collect or di	ust be used for stribute the reve	the

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions:

- 1. Under current law, 7-6-1503, MCA, allows some resort communities to establish a local option sales tax on a limited number of local goods and services. Currently, the sales tax cannot exceed 3%.
- 2. HB 262 allows the same resort communities to enact a local option sales tax of 1% in addition to the standard 3% sales tax. However, the 1% tax revenue must be used to fund the preservation or restoration of historic buildings.
- 3. Under the current law, and as proposed by HB 262, resort and local option sales taxes are administered and collected by the resort community's governing body. As a result, HB 262's changes to the local option sales taxes will have no impact on state revenues or expenditures.

Sponsor's Initials	Date	Budget Director's Initials	Date